arXiv:cond-mat/9607020v2 8 Jul 1996

Comment on " Fractal formation and ordering in Random Sequential Adsorption"

M. K. Hassan

Department of Physics, Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

E-mail:Kamrul.Hassan@brunel.ac.uk

In a recent letter [1], Brilliantov *et al.* studied the random sequential adsorption (RSA) of a mixture of particles with continuous distribution of sizes. In particular, they choose a power law form for the parking distribution, $p(x) \sim \alpha x^{\alpha-1}$, and find the resulting pattern that arises in the long time can be described geometrically using the fractal concept. They also claim that the pattern becomes more and more ordered as α increases and reaches to the Apollonian packing in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$. This finding does not seem to be correct at least in one dimension. Here we attempt to find an exact enumeration of the fractal dimension D_f analytically in one dimension for different values of α and give the physical interpretation of the role played by the exponent α.

Defining $c(x,t)$ as the concentration of empty spaces of size x at time t, the RSA process in one dimension can be described by the linear integro-differential equation [2]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial t} &= -c(x,t)\int_0^x p(z)dz\int_0^{x-z} F(y,x-y-z|z)dy + \\ &\quad 2\int_x^\infty c(y,t)dy\int_0^{y-x} p(z)F(x,y-x-z|z)dz\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where, $F(x,y|z)$ determine the rate at which particles attempt to deposit with the probability $p(z)$. For a power-law form of $p(z)$ and for uniform deposition rate $F(x,y|z)=1$, the rate equation for the qth moment [3] is

$$\frac{dM_q(t)}{dt} = \Big[\frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(q+1)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha+2)} - 1\Big]M_{q+\alpha+1}(t), \tag{2}$$

where, $M_q(t) = \int_0^\infty c(x,t)x^q dx$. We now consider a system in which an arbitrary number of particles, n, are created and $(n-m)$ of them are removed at each time step and the process is repeated *ad infinitum*, where, $2 \leq m < n$. The rate equation for $c(x,t)$ is [2]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial t} &= -c(x,t)\int \prod_{j=1}^{n-m} p(x_j)F(x_{n-m+1},..,x_n|x_1,..,x_{n-m})\delta(x-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{n} dx_i + m \\ &\int c(y,t)F(x,x_{n-m+1},..,x_{n-1}|x_1,..,x_{n-m})\delta(y-x-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dx_i dy \prod_{j=1}^{n-m} p(x_j).\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Choosing $p(x_i) \sim (\beta+1)x_i^\beta$ and $F((x_{n-m+1},..,x_n|x_1,..,x_{n-m}) = x_{n-m+1}^\beta ... x_n^\beta$ we obtain

$$\frac{dM_q(t)}{dt} = \Big(\frac{m\Gamma(q+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(q+n(\beta+1))} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n(\beta+1))}\Big)M_{q+n(\beta+1)-1}(t) \tag{4}$$

Note that if we set $\beta = 0, m = 2$ and $n = \alpha + 2$ we get exactly the same equation as equation (2) this implies that the pattern created by this two systems are identical. It also implies that at each time step a line is broken into $(\alpha+2)$ pieces and α of them are removed. Equivalently, we can consider the deposition of one particle at each event whose length is the same as the length of α number of discarded particles. Thus, as α increases the length of the deposited particle increases on the average. In order to find the fractal dimension, we now define a line segment $\delta \sim \frac{M_1(t)}{M_0(t)}$ and find the number of segments required to cover the pattern created scales as $N(\delta) \sim \delta^{-D_f}$ in the limit $\delta \to 0$. The fractal dimension, D_f is the real and positive root of the polynomial equation in q obtained by setting the parenthesis of equation (2) equal to zero [2] for which the moment becomes time independent. A detailed numerical survey confirms that the fractal dimension decreases as α increases (see the Table I).

α	$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 1$
1	0.5616	0.5956
2	0.4348	0.5183
3	0.3723	0.466542
4	0.33405	0.429121
5	0.30784	0.400614
6	0.288505	0.37805
7	0.27351	0.35966

Table I: The fractal Dimension D_f for $\beta = 0, 1$ for different values of α.

However, if we choose $p(z) \sim (\alpha+1)z^{\alpha}$ and $F(x,y|z) = (xy)^{\alpha}$ in equation (1) then we can get the rate equation for the moment from equation (4) by setting $n = 3, m = 2$ and $\beta = \alpha$. For this particular choice we find that the fractal dimension increases as α increases and reaches to $\frac{\ln 2}{\ln 3}$ as $\alpha \to \infty$ (see table II), which is the fractal dimension for the classic Cantor set (non-random fractal). In this case the α value determines the degree of tendency to place the particles in the centre and in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$ particles are always placed exactly in the centre of the empty space.

β	D_f
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
0	0.5616288
$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5841
1	0.5956
2	0.6073
∞	0.6309

Table 2: The fractal dimension D_f of stochastic fractals for $m = 2$ and $n = 3$ for increasing $\beta = \alpha$ values when deposition is position dependent.

In other words, the α value determines the degree of order. It shows that the power-law size distribution alone does not produce any ordered packing, instead, one has to chose the deposition rate, $F(x,y|z)$, to be position dependent following the similar power-law form as for $p(z)$ for which in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$ the pattern becomes ordered. We conclude with the remark that the fractal dimension increases with the degree of increasing order and reaches it's maximum value in the perfectly ordered pattern as it does in the classic Cantor set or in the Sierpinski gasket.

The author would like to thank the CVCP for an ORS award.

PACS numbers: 81.10.Aj, 02.50.-r, 05.40.+j, 61.43.-j

[1] N. V. Brilliantov, Yu. A Andrienko, P. L Krapivsky and J. Kurths,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** 4058 (1996).
[2] M. K. Hassan and G. J. Rodgers, Phys. Lett. A **208** 95 (1995).
[3] P. L. Krapivsky, J. Stat. Phys. **69** 135 (1992).